

# Child Support: An Annotated Bibliography, 2010–2016

© Nancy Levit\*

This bibliography covers law review articles published, for the most part, after 2009. Articles for which the title is self-explanatory or that concern only a single case, state, statute, or website, are cited, but not annotated.

<b>Child Support Arrears</b> .....	451	<b>R</b>
<b>Determinations and Methods for Calculating</b> .....	452	<b>R</b>
<b>Enforcement</b> .....	454	<b>R</b>
<b>Federal and International Issues</b> .....	455	<b>R</b>
<b>Individual States</b> .....	457	<b>R</b>
<b>Miscellaneous</b> .....	458	<b>R</b>
<b>Modification</b> .....	459	<b>R</b>
<b>Parenting Time and Visitation</b> .....	460	<b>R</b>
<b>Post-Emancipation Child Support</b> .....	461	<b>R</b>
<b>Step-Parent Obligations</b> .....	462	<b>R</b>
<b>Tax Implications</b> .....	463	<b>R</b>

## Child Support Arrears (*See also Enforcement*)

Laura Lane-Steele, *Working It Off: Introducing a Service-Based Child Support Model*, 19 U. PA. J.L. & SOC. CHANGE 163 (2016)

---

\* Curators' Distinguished Professor and Edward D. Ellison Professor of Law, University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Law.

452 *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers*

(proposing a system in which low-income parents could contribute labor, instead of money, to the other parent).

---

Cynthia Hawkins DeBose & Jounice Nealy-Brown, *The Silent Penalty: Interest Accrues on Unpaid Child Support in Florida*, 40 SETON HALL LEGIS. J. 279 (2016) (Florida).

Ira M. Friedman & David Friedman, *How It Is Possible to Collect a 72 Percent Interest Penalty*, 37 L.A. LAW. 36 (Feb. 2015) (California).

Gina Rose Lauterio, Note, *Why Turner v. Rogers Was and Wasn't Correctly Decided: How the Fourteenth Amendment Should Be Read for Child Support Contemnors*, 20 WASH. & LEE J. CIV. RTS. & SOC. JUST. 175 (2013) (South Carolina).

Nat'l Conference of State Legislators, *Interest on Child Support Arrears*, <http://www.ncsl.org/research/human-services/interest-on-child-support-arrears.aspx> (last visited Nov. 22, 2016).

Jerry Reiss & Marc H. Brawer, *The Intersection of F.S. § 55.03 and Florida Family Law: Statutory Interest Calculations for Past-due Support Payments*, 87 FLA. B.J. 54 (July 2013) (Florida).

Nichole Walsch, Comment, *Fundamental, but Not Fundamental Enough: Missouri's Balancing Test in the Area of Parental Rights*, 75 MO. L. REV. 641 (2010) (Missouri).

## **Determinations and Methods for Calculating**

Douglas W. Allen & Margaret F. Brinig, *Child Support Guidelines: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly*, 45 FAM. L.Q. 135 (2011) (explaining the ways in which different states' methods of calculating child support can incentivize different behaviors—such as increasing or decreasing the noncustodial parent's post-divorce engagement with the children).

*Child Support*, 35 FAM. ADVOC. 24 (Spring 2013) (providing sample clauses for cost-of-living, modification, termination of payments, and higher education costs, among other items).

Emma J. Cone-Roddy, Comment, *Payments to Not Parent? Non-custodial Parents as the Recipients of Child Support*, 81 U. CHI. L. REV. 1749 (2014) (evaluating the circumstances under which a

custodial parent might pay child support to a non-custodial parent and what limitations on that arrangement courts should impose).

Trent Maxwell, Comment, *Domestic Asset Protection Trusts: A Threat to Child Support?*, 2014 B.Y.U. L. REV. 477 (examining how various state domestic asset protection trust statutes treat child support).

Charles J. Meyer et al., *Child Support Determinations in High Income Families - A Survey of the Fifty States*, 28 J. AM. ACAD. MATRIM. LAW. 483 (2016) (evaluating the components of income—such as public benefits, rents, royalties, cash gifts, stocks, and deferred compensation—as well as different state approaches when parent income exceeds the child support guidelines).

Lori W. Nelson, *High-Income Child Support*, 45 FAM. L.Q. 191 (2011) (addressing different state approaches to child support in high-income families by exploring state statutes and case law that steer judicial discretion).

Jane C. Venohr, *Child Support Guidelines and Guidelines Reviews: State Differences and Common Issues*, 47 FAM. L.Q. 327 (2013) (unpacking the income shares, percentage of obligor income, and Melson formula models and the assumptions on which each of these models rests).

---

Steven K. Berenson, *Economic Windfalls and Child Support: How Should Gifts, Inheritances, and Prizes Be Treated?*, 47 SUFFOLK U. L. REV. 701 (2014).

Sanford L. Braver et al., *Public Intuitions About Fair Child Support Allocations: Converging Evidence for a “Fair Shares” Rule*, 20 PSYCHOL. PUB. POL’Y & L. 146 (2014).

Stacy Brustin, *Child Support: Shifting the Financial Burden in Low-Income Families*, 20 GEO. J. ON POVERTY L. & POL’Y 1 (2012).

Taylor Gay, *All in the Family: Examining Louisiana’s Faulty Birth Order-Based Discrimination*, 73 LA. L. REV. 295 (2012) (Louisiana).

454 *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers*

David W. Griffin, *Earning Capacity and Imputing Income for Child Support Calculations: A Survey of Law and Outline of Practice Tips*, 26 J. AM. ACAD. MATRIM. LAW. 365 (2014).

Nicole Jacobs, *Earning Capacity of the Supporting Spouse*, 22 J. CONTEMP. LEGAL ISSUES 167 (2015) (California).

Jani Maurer, *Use and Disposition of Life Insurance in Dissolution of Marriage*, 16 BARRY L. REV. 57 (2011).

Jason V. Owens, *Determining Self-Employment Income for Child Support Purposes: The Massachusetts View Compared with the National View*, 16 SUFFOLK J. TRIAL & APP. ADVOC. 171 (2011).

Laura Raatjes, Note, *High-Income Child Support Guidelines: Harmonizing the Need for Limits with the Best Interests of the Child*, 86 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 317 (2011).

J. David Sanders, Comment, *Shared Responsibility: Time for Illinois to Adopt the Income Shares Model of Child Support*, 38 S. ILL. U. L.J. 281 (2014) (Illinois).

Marcia A. Zug, *Dangerous Gamble: Child Support, Casino Dividends, and the Fate of the Indian Family*, 36 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 738 (2010).

### **Enforcement (See also Child Support Arrears)**

Ann Cammett, *Deadbeats, Deadbrokees, and Prisoners*, 18 GEO. J. ON POVERTY L. & POL'Y 127 (2011) (addressing the impact of enforcement measures on incarcerated parents and discussing ways to strengthen parental ties to children even when parents are incarcerated).

Leslie Joan Harris, *Questioning Child Support Enforcement Policy for Poor Families*, 45 FAM. L.Q. 157 (2011) (unpacking inequities in child support collection and distribution, and proposing a publicly funded child support assurance program).

Margaret Ryznar, *Two Direct Rights of Action in Child Support Enforcement*, 2 CATH. U. L. REV. 1007 (2013) (examining custodial parent and state agency actions for nonpayment, as well as the inability of citizens to bring section 1983 actions against state agencies).

Noah D. Zatz, *A New Peonage?: Pay, Work, or Go to Jail in Contemporary Child Support Enforcement and Beyond*, 39 SEATTLE U. L. REV. 927 (2016) (comparing incarceration for nonpayment of child support to historic peonage and questioning its validity under Thirteenth Amendment principles).

---

Maureen Atwell, *The Use of Social Media Evidence in Criminal Child Support Prosecutions*, 7 PHOENIX L. REV. 1 (2013).

Michael P. Boulette, *Collecting Child Support and Maintenance: A New Role for QDROs*, 69 BENCH & B. MINN. 20 (Oct. 2012) (Minnesota).

Tonya L. Brito, *Fathers Behind Bars: Rethinking Child Support Policy Toward Low-Income Noncustodial Fathers and Their Families*, 15 J. GENDER RACE & JUST. 617 (2012).

Joseph N. DuCanto, *Delinquent Child Support: Making a Federal Case*, 25 DCBA BRIEF 18 (Feb. 2013).

Hayden E. Hanna, *Income Withholding & Child Support—Its Role in Your Case*, 46 ARIZ. ATT'Y 24 (Feb. 2010) (Arizona).

Matthew Hector, *New “Accountability Court” Helps Child-Support Obligors Keep up Payments*, 104 ILL. B.J. 16 (Apr. 2016) (Illinois).

Elizabeth G. Patterson, *Civil Contempt and the Indigent Child Support Obligor: The Silent Return of Debtor’s Prison*, 18 CORNELL J.L. & PUB. POL’Y 95 (2008).

Frederick F. Rudzik, *Caution: Collecting Child Support Payments May Violate § 1327 Stay*, 30 AM. BANKR. INST. J. 12 (Mar. 2011).

## **Federal and International Issues**

Steven K. Berenson, *Home Court Advantage Revisited: Inter-state Modification of Child Support Orders Under UIFSA and FFCCSOA*, 45 GONZ. L. REV. 479 (2009-10) (evaluating conflicting precedent on whether FFCCSOA preempts UIFSA’s prohibition of a “home court advantage” in child support modification proceedings).

456 *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers*

Margaret Campbell Haynes & Susan Friedman Paikin, “*Reconciling” FFCCSOA and UIFSA*, 49 FAM. L.Q. 331 (2015) (addressing the variances among state adoptions of the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act and the Full Faith and Credit for Child Support Orders Act).

Laura W. Morgan, Note, *Pre-Emption or Abdication? Courts Rule Federal Law Trumps State Law in Child Support Jurisdiction*, 24 J. AM. ACAD. MATRIM. LAW. 217 (2011) (explaining the different versions of the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act, the Hague Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance, and the variations in state statutes adopting them).

---

Joseph W. Booth, *A Guide for Assisting Military Families with the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA)*, 43 FAM. L.Q. 203 (2009).

Kimball Denton, *A Brief History of Uniform Laws for Private Interstate Support Enforcement*, 20 J. CONTEMP. LEGAL ISSUES 323 (2012).

Eric M. Fish, *The Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) 2008: Enforcing International Obligations Through Co-operative Federalism*, 24 J. AM. ACAD. MATRIM. LAW. 33 (2011).

Kurtis A. Kemper, Annotation, *Construction and Application of Uniform Interstate Family Support Act*, 90 A.L.R. 5TH 1 (2012).

Christine Olson McTigue, *If the (International) Shoe Fits—Jurisdiction Issues in Family Law Cases*, 29 DCBA BRIEF 16 (Oct. 2016) (Illinois).

Martha Mohs, *Transnational Child Support Enforcement and the Need for a Reciprocal Agreement Between the United States and Mexico*, 32 WIS. INT’L L.J. 781 (2014).

Laura W. Morgan, *Pre-emption or Abdication? Courts Rule Federal Law Trumps State Law in Child Support Jurisdiction*, 24 J. AM. ACAD. MATRIM. LAW. 217 (2011.)

Michael J. Peters, *International Child Support: The United States Striving Towards a Better Solution*, 15 NEW ENG. J. INT’L & COMP. L. 91 (2009).

Battle Rankin Robinson, *The Beginner's Guide to International Support*, 33 DEL. LAW. 22 (Winter 2015-2016).

John J. Sampson & Barry J. Brooks, *Integrating UIFSA (2008) with the Hague Convention of 23 November 2007 on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance*, 49 FAM. L.Q. 179 (2015).

## Individual States

---

Erica Bertini, *Inheritance Is Income for Purposes of Calculating Child Support Under the Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act*, 25 DCBA BRIEF 26 (May 2013) (Illinois).

Erica Bertini, *Married but Separated: Custodial Parents Can Seek Retroactive Child Support Under the Parentage Act*, 24 DCBA BRIEF 36 (July 2012) (Illinois).

Meridel Bulle-Vu et al., *Developments in Family Law in the District of Columbia: Three Significant Legislative Changes for Child Support*, 18 U. D.C. L. REV. 201 (2015) (District of Columbia).

Ira Mark Ellman, *A Case Study in Failed Law Reform: Arizona's Child Support Guidelines*, 54 ARIZ. L. REV. 137 (2012) (Arizona).

Jon T. Ferrier, *Child Support Agreements in the Wake of Holmes*, 89 MICH. B.J. 26 (July 2010) (Michigan).

Karly Schlinkert, Comment, *The Numbers Game: Why California's Child Support Formula Should Be Amended to Avoid Parental Abuse*, 44 GOLDEN GATE U. L. REV. 257 (2014) (California).

Stefani Silberstein Radist et al., *Restorative Justice Pilot Project at the Parentage and Child Support Court of the Circuit Court of Cook County*, 8 DEPAUL J. FOR SOC. JUST. 53 (2014) (Illinois).

Dallas Simkins, *Simplifying Adult Child Support*, 50 ORANGE COUNTY LAW. 40 (Nov. 2013) (California).

Ann Steffens, *New Health Insurance Deduction Added in Montana Child Support Guidelines*, 40 MONT. LAW. 19 (May 2015) (Montana).

458 *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers*

*Supreme Court Requires Strict Compliance for Garnishment Notices Serving a Withholding Notice on a Child-Support Obligor's Employer? Make Sure to Include the Required Information (Think Social Security Number) or the Employer Won't Be Forced to Comply*, 102 ILL. B.J. 12 (Jan. 2014) (Illinois).

Frances Q. F. Wong, *Hawaii's Statewide Child Support Guidelines*, 14 HAW. B.J. 9 (2011) (Hawaii).

## Miscellaneous

June Carbone & Naomi Cahn, *Marriage, Parentage, and Child Support*, 45 FAM. L.Q. 219 (2011) (addressing the legal rules that govern parentage and hence the obligation of child support).

Deborah Lolai, "You're Going to Be Straight or You're Not Going to Live Here": *Child Support for LGBT Homeless Youth*, 24 TUL. J.L. & SEXUALITY 35 (2015) (making the case that unemancipated LGBT minors are eligible for child support from their parents).

---

Steven Berenson, *Homeless Veterans and Child Support*, 45 FAM. L.Q. 173 (2011).

The Council of State Governments Justice Center, *Support for Kin Caregivers of Children Whose Parents Are Incarcerated*, 30 CHILD. L. PRAC. 27 (Apr. 2011).

Ira Mark Ellman & Sanford L. Braver, *Child Support and the Custodial Mother's Move or Remarriage: What Citizens Believe the Law Should Be*, 21 PSYCHOL. PUB. POL'Y & L. 145 (2015).

Stephanie Giggetts, Annotation, *Application of Child-Support Guidelines to Cases of Joint-, Split, or Similar Shared-Custody Arrangements*, 57 A.L.R. 5TH 389, § 2(a) (1998 & Supp. 2013).

Daniel L. Hatcher, *Don't Forget Dad: Addressing Women's Poverty by Rethinking Forced and Outdated Child Support Policies*, 20 AM. U. J. GENDER SOC. POL'Y & L. 775 (2012).

Michael J. Higdon, *Fatherhood by Conscriptio: Nonconsensual Insemination and the Duty of Child Support*, 46 GA. L. REV. 407 (2012).



Oksana Holder, *Public Policies Collide: Child Support vs. Immigrant Support*, 50 ARIZ. ATTY. 18 (Apr. 2014) (Arizona).

Michael R. Hudzik & Anthony E. Vechiola, *Statutory Rape and the Duty to Pay Child Support (When One Crime Is Not Enough)*, 27 DCBA BRIEF 26 (Jan. 2015).

Laura W. Morgan, *The Child Support Trust*, 38 FAM. ADVOC. 26 (Fall 2015).

Aviva Nusbaum, Note, *The High Cost of Child Support in Rape Cases: Finding an Evidentiary Standard to Protect Mother and Child from Welfare's Cooperation Requirement*, 82 FORDHAM L. REV. 1331 (2013).

Cristina Solis, Comment, *Addressing the Needs of Overlooked Victims: Providing Child Support for Children of Human Trafficking Victims*, 32 T.M. COOLEY L. REV. 77 (2015).

## **Modification**

Ryan Larson, Note, *Modifying Modifications: The Future of Child Support Is Electronic*, 51 FAM. CT. REV. 149 (2013) (describing the systems in Alaska and Vermont of using automated income data linked to the states' child support divisions to promote automatic notices for modification).

---

Reuben A. Bernick, *When Property Becomes Income in Post-Judgment Divorce Litigation Do the Assets a Party Got in a Divorce Really Belong to Him or Her "Free and Clear of All Claims" by the Ex-Spouse? No, Say Most Cases, if the Assets Are Retirement Benefits and – Especially – if the Claims Are for Child Support*, 98 ILL. B.J. 310 (June 2010) (Illinois).

Stephen Madej, Comment, *Modification of Child Support for Business Owners and Self-Employed Individuals: Balancing the Interests of the Child with the Means of the Parents*, 30 T.M. COOLEY L. REV. 425 (2013) (Michigan).

Jeffrey A. Parness, *Vailas: An Ill-Conceived Limit on Modifying Child Support Orders—While the Vailas Court's Goal of Protecting Nonresidents Is Laudable, the Approach It Took Commanded too High a Price*, 99 ILL. B.J. 160 (Mar. 2011) (Illinois).

460 *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers*

Melissa Schmidt, *The Role of the Family Law Facilitator in Modification of Support Awards*, 20 J. CONTEMP. LEGAL ISSUES 295 (2012).

Ruthanne Withers, Case Focus, *SJC Clarifies Legal Standard Used in Child Support Modification Cases*, 57 B. B.J. 15 (Summer 2013) (Massachusetts).

## **Parenting Time and Visitation**

Sanford L. Braver et. al., *Public Sentiments About the Parenting Time Adjustment in Child Support Awards*, 49 FAM. L.Q. 433 (2015) (addressing the three primary approaches to the intersection of child support and parenting time: treating it as only a factor regarding deviation from the guidelines, recognizing that support should be adjusted when parenting time reaches a level of shared parenting, and adjusting continuously for additional units of parenting time).

Stacy Brustin & Lisa Vollendorf Martin, *Paved with Good Intentions: Unintended Consequences of Federal Proposals to Integrate Child Support and Parenting Time*, 48 IND. L. REV. 803 (2015) (exploring government-initiated child support proceedings which encourage integration of custody and visitation issues to promote engaged parenting, and evaluating the dangers of creating risks of subjecting custodial parents to domestic violence and of increasing parenting time being used manipulatively to decrease child support).

Karen Syma Czapanskiy, *The Shared Custody Child Support Adjustment: Not Worth the Candle*, 49 FAM. L.Q. 409 (2015) (calculating how the shared custody adjustment works in practice in different jurisdictions (under an income shares or sliding scale approach) and concluding that this adjustment lowers the standard of living in the primary household).

Laurie S. Kohn, *Money Can't Buy You Love: Valuing Contributions by Nonresidential Fathers*, 81 BROOK. L. REV. 53 (2015) (urging systemic changes to child support awards and enforcement, as well as visitation time, to emphasize paternal caretaking as much as paternal child support).

John E.B. Myers, “*I Won’t Pay Child Support, but I Insist on Visitation.*” *Should Visitation and Child Support Be Linked?*, 45 MCGEORGE L. REV. 695 (2014) (explaining that although child support and visitation are theoretically decoupled, a number of exceptions selectively link the two, such as in situations of intentional failure to pay child support and intentional interference with visitation).

Jessica Pearson, *Establishing Parenting Time in Child Support Cases: New Opportunities and Challenges*, 53 FAM. CT. REV. 246 (2015) (surveying various state approaches to parenting time access, particularly in situations involving family violence).

Nancy Ver Steegh & Gabrielle Davis, *Calculating Safety: Reckoning with Domestic Violence in the Context of Child Support Parenting Time Initiatives*, 53 FAM. CT. REV. 279 (2015) (explaining the frailties in traditional domestic violence exclusions regarding parenting time and urging attention to support resources for victim-parents as well as victim-parent-led initiation of parenting time).

---

Alicia G. Key, *Parenting Time in Texas Child Support Cases*, 53 FAM. CT. REV. 258 (2015) (Texas).

Milton C. Lee, *Fatherhood in the Child Support System: An Innovative Problem-Solving Approach to an Old Problem*, 50 FAM. CT. REV. 59 (2012) (D.C.).

## **Post-Emancipation Child Support**

Lauren C. Barnett, Comment, *Having Their Cake and Eating It Too? Post-Emancipation Child Support as a Valid Judicial Option*, 80 U. CHI. L. REV. 1799 (2013) (urging that courts adopt a totality of the circumstances approach to post-emancipation child support awards, considering the purposes for which the child wants to be emancipated).

Katherine Byrns, Note, *Postmajority Child Support for Children with Disabilities*, 51 FAM. CT. REV. 502 (2013) (exploring the holdings in the thirty-two states that have imposed obligations on parents to support an adult disabled child when the disability began prior to the age of majority and the holdings in five states

462 *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers*

imposing such an obligation when the disability began after the age of majority).

Anna Stepien-Sporek & Margaret Ryznar, *Child Support for Adult Children*, 30 QUINNIPIAC L. REV. 359 (2012) (examining variations in state laws regarding post-majority child support, both for education and other purposes).

Monica Hof Wallace, *A Federal Referendum: Extending Child Support for Higher Education*, 58 U. KAN. L. REV. 665 (2010) (examining the variety of state approaches to post-divorce higher education support for children).

---

Matthew Brandabur, Note, *Getting Back to Our Roots: Increasing the Age of Child Support Termination to Twenty-One*, 47 VAL. U. L. REV. 169 (2012).

Mayra Alicia Cataldo, Note, *Safe Haven: Granting Support to Victims of Child Abuse Who Have Been Judicially Emancipated*, 52 FAM. CT. REV. 592 (2014).

Lawrence Chinsky, Note, *“Opening the Floodgates”: Adult Children Suing Their Parents for College Support: Has the Law in New Jersey Gone too Far or Not Far Enough?*, 68 RUTGERS U.L. REV. 827 (2016) (New Jersey).

Scott Gelber, *Child Support Litigation and the “Necessity” of American Higher Education, 1920-70*, 54 AM. J. LEGAL HIST. 39 (Jan. 2014).

Sally F. Goldfarb, *Who Pays for the “Boomerang Generation”?: A Legal Perspective on Financial Support for Young Adults*, 37 HARV. J. L. & GENDER 45 (2014).

Gregg A. Greenstein, *Child Support Continuation for Disabled Children*, 40 COLO. LAW. 61 (Dec. 2011).

## **Step-Parent Obligations**

Cynthia Grant Bowman, *The Legal Relationship Between Cohabitants and Their Partners’ Children*, 13 THEORETICAL INQUIRIES L. 127 (2012) (drawing on social science evidence regarding relationships between stepparents and stepchildren to argue for

standing for cohabiting stepparents to seek custody and visitation and to be obligated to pay child support).

Jeffrey A. Parness, *Constitutional Constraints on Second Parent Laws*, 40 OHIO N.U. L. REV. 811 (2014) (examining various states' laws regarding second parent childcare and child support obligations).

Jeffrey A. Parness, *Third Party Stepparent Childcare*, 67 MERCER L. REV. 383 (2016) (addressing de facto parentage and equitable adoption doctrines that may give stepparents visitation rights and child support responsibilities).

---

Kimberly Michele Leyerle, Comment, *A Shift from Incidental to Instrumental: A Promise of Stability When Stepparents Have Been a Primary Source of Parenting Support*, 14 WHITTIER J. CHILD & FAM. ADVOC. 90 (2015).

Susan L. Pollet, *Still a Patchwork Quilt: A Nationwide Survey of State Laws Regarding Stepparent Rights and Obligations*, 48 FAM. CT. REV. 528 (2010).

Melaine Shannon Rothery, *Pa. Supreme Court Orders Stepparent to Pay Child Support*, 18 LAWYERS J. 19 (Mar. 18, 2016) (Pennsylvania).

## **Tax Implications**

Pearlene Anklesaria, Comment, *Child Related Tax Breaks for Divorced Parents*, 22 J. AM. ACAD. MATRIM. LAW. 425 (2009) (explaining the dependency exemption, the child tax credit, and the child care credit).

Jay W. Miller, *What You Think You Know but Don't: The Dependency Exemption Tax Deduction*, 89 WIS. LAW. 49 (Apr. 2016) (explaining the dependency exemption deduction as well as when a noncustodial parent can claim a child tax credit).

---

Gaetano Ferro, *Unallocated Alimony and Child Support: Navigating the Tax Code's Hazards*, 37 FAM. ADVOC. 31 (Fall 2014).

Leon I. Finkel & Danielle E. Ahlzdeh, *The Road Less Traveled*, 27 CBA REC. 40 (Aug. 2013) (Illinois).

464 *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers*

Deborah Iwanyshyn, *Alimony Recapture and Child Contingency Rules*, 18 *LAWYERS J.* 7 (Nov. 11, 2016).

Christopher J. Maurer, *The Perils of Unallocated Support*, 26 *DCBA BRIEF* 20 (Jan. 2014) (Illinois).

Shari Motro, *Preglimony*, 63 *STAN. L. REV.* 647 (2011).

Candice Marie Reder, *Framing Preglimony: Exploring the Implications of Pregnancy Support Models Through Family Law Values*, 20 *DUKE J. GENDER L. & POL'Y* 325 (2013).

Robert S. Steinberg, *The Dependency Exemption for Minor Children: When Following the Rules Pays Off*, 89 *FLA. B.J.* 38 (Jan. 2015).

Christopher A. Tiso, "Family Support" and the Impact of Child Support Guidelines, 37 *FAM. ADVOC.* 27 (Fall 2014).

Scott E. Vincent, *Tax Court Finds Family Support Payments Were Deductible Alimony*, 69 *J. MO. B.* 98 (Apr. 2013).